

January 7, 2013

## Review: Version 23 of Chronic Wasting Disease Program Standards "Better than Version 22, but still Burdensome to Industry"

ACA Creates Comparison Chart to Show New Changes

AYR, NE- The long anticipated 23<sup>rd</sup> version of the Chronic Wasting Disease CWD Program Standards was published last week, marking the end of formal negotiations with USDA/APHIS and the start of the public comment period. The public comment period will last until March 31, 2014, and is the final step of the process before the USDA officially unveils their suggested guidelines for Federal Chronic Wasting Disease policy.

After several cervid industry leaders compared Version 23 to Version 22, there have been a few positive changes but several problems referred to as "deal breakers" still exist. The comparison shows language suggesting 100% testing of harvested trophy animals as been removed. Version 23 also removed language for restrictive physical inventories.

Version 23, however, still has major language concerns such as the suggestion of double fencing and mention of 10' fences. Containment procedures noted in Version 23 mirror Version 22, including language calling for the removal of 4" of top soil on farms with CWD. Cleaning requirements for sale barns are also still in the document. On page 34, the USDA recommends producers and transporters contact every state prior to traveling through with deer or elk.

"This is still a document that will cause unnecessary hardship for our members," said Eric Mohlman, Moderator of the ACA. "If the USDA has 8' foot fences as the minimum requirement, then it's inappropriate to even mention 10' fencing in the standards; which it still does on page 46. These are suggestions that some wildlife agencies will use to over regulate our industry."

The American Cervid Alliance leaders meet this week to discuss the Program Standards and the Chronic Wasting Disease Federal Rule.

Please see below for comparison chart between Version 22 and Version 23.

| <u>Concern</u>          | <u>Federal Rule</u>  | Version 22 Standards   | Version 23 Standards   |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Fencing<br>Requirements | Rule 9 CFR 55.23 "For herds<br>established after the effective<br>date of the CWD rule, the fence<br>must be a minimum of 2.4 meters<br>(8 feet) high and must comply<br>with any other existing State<br>regulations or requirements. In<br>either case, the fence must be<br>structurally sound, maintained in<br>good repair, and of sufficient<br>construction to contain the<br>animals." | <b>Appendix II of Version 22.</b> The document states, "in at least one study (VerCauteren, et.al 2010) recommends fence height greater than 2.4 meters (at least 10 feet) to ensure 100 percent containment." "However, a survey of 150 wildlife biologists found six individuals who had witnessed deer jump fences higher than 2.4m, suggesting that only a higher fence could achieve 100 percent deterrence." | <b>Appendix II of Version 23</b> (pg 46)<br>VerCauteren, et al. (2007a and b)<br>also measured behaviors and<br>contacts through game-farm fences<br>between farmed and wild white-<br>tailed deer in Michigan and between<br>farmed elk and wild elk and mule<br>deer in Colorado. All sites in<br>Michigan employed a single 3 m<br>high woven-wire fence. |

Please see below

| Double Fencing | No mention in Rule | <b>Part B, Section 1.1.</b> "In areas where CWD is not known to be present in free-ranging wild cervids, a second   | <b>Part B, Section 1.1</b> (pg 37) In areas<br>where CWD is not known to be present in<br>free-ranging wild cervids, a second  |
|----------------|--------------------|---|--|
|                |                    | barrier is recommended that is<br>adequate to prevent fenceline<br>contact of wild cervids with this<br>exposed herd." <b>Part A, Section</b><br><b>4-</b> "the program does recognize the<br>risks of CWD infection to farmed<br>cervids held in facilties that operate<br>in areas known to have CWD in free- | barrier is recommended that is adequate<br>to prevent fenceline contact of wild<br>cervids with a CWD-exposed farmed<br>cervid herd. Examples of barriers are<br>described in Appendix II. <b>Part A,</b><br><b>Section 4</b> (pg 22) Therefore, the risk of<br>CWD transmission between farmed<br>cervid and free-ranging cervid  |
|                |                    | in areas known to have CwD in free-<br>ranging cervids. Therefore, the risk<br>of CWD transmission between<br>farmed cervids and free-range<br>cervid populations should be<br>assessed by individual States and<br>addressed by additional barrier<br>requirements as necessary."                              | populations should be assessed by<br>individual States and addressed by<br>additional barrier requirements as<br>necessary. <b>Appendix II</b> (pg 46) Fence<br>types in Colorado included a single<br>woven-wire fence (2.4 m high), double<br>woven-wire fences separated by 1 to 4 m<br>(2.4 m high), and a single woven-wire<br>fence (2.4 m high) plus a 3-strand offset<br>electric fence either inside or outside the<br>woven-wire fence. The study recorded<br>only two direct naso-oral contacts<br>between wild and farmed deer in<br>Michigan during more than 77,000 hours<br>of camera monitoring. Conversely, 77<br>interactions were documented between<br>wild and farmed elk involving naso-oral |
|                |                    |   | contact. No direct contacts were observed<br>through double woven-wire fences. Risk<br>of direct contact was about 3.5 times<br>greater for single woven-wire fences<br>compared to an offset electric fence<br>attached to the single woven-wire fence.   |

| 100% Testing for<br>all Harvested<br>Cervids in<br>Preserves.   | No Mention in the Rule  | Page 6 under the heading of "Hunt<br>or Shooter Facility" of the Version<br>22.In the last sentence of the<br>definition of "Hunt or Shooter<br>Facility," Version 22 features the<br>suggested idea of 100% testing for<br>all harvested cervids in preserves<br>by stating "States also may have<br>CWD testing requirements of all<br>cervids harvested from these<br>facilities."  | Removed   |
|---|---|--|---|
| Definition of<br>"Commingling"<br>to allow Artificial<br>Insemination<br>Program to<br>Lower Your Herd<br>Status. | No mention of semen or bodily<br>fluids as commingling in the<br>Rule | Pertaining to <b>Part B-2.4</b> item 6,<br>under heading of "Limited Contact"<br>of the Version 22 Standards<br>document draft. The document<br>states on page 12, "Commingling<br>includes contact with bodily fluids<br>or excrement from other farmed<br>animals. Farmed cervids<br>commingled with other farmed<br>cervids assume the status of the<br>lowest program status animal in<br>the group." Semen is considered a<br>"bodily fluid." | Part 2.4 (pg 16) Commingling<br>was amended to say<br>"Commingling includes contact<br>with bodily fluids (blood, saliva,<br>urine), or excrement from other<br>farmed animals.", However, The<br>same exemption was not made in<br>the definition of "Limited<br>Contact" on page 8. |

| • Semen as a<br>Possible<br>Transmitter of<br>CWD | No mention in Rule | Part A-2.6 of the Version 22. "At<br>this time there is no scientific<br>evidence that germplasm<br>(embryos or semen) may transmit<br>CWD. If scientific evidence of the<br>roles or embryos or semen in the<br>transmission of CWD should<br>become available, this guidance<br>will be changed" | Page 17 still lists it: "At this time<br>there is no scientific evidence that<br>germplasm (embyos or semen)<br>may transmit CWD." |
|---|--------------------|--|--|
|   |                    |  |  |

| Definition of<br>Hunt or Shooter<br>Facility. | No Definition in Rule | Page 6 under the heading of "Hunt<br>or Shooter Facility" of the Version<br>22. "Hunter or Shooter Facility" as<br>"A privately owned ranch or other<br>premises that operates to sell<br>commercial hunts. These facilities<br>should have fenced enclosures<br>maintained to prevent ingress and<br>egress of cervids. They may<br>participate in an Approved State<br>CWD HCP if they can comply with<br>all minimum requirements of<br>Approved State CWD HCP as set<br>forth in the federal rule. States also<br>may have CWD testing<br>requirements of all cervids<br>harvested from these facilities." | On page 8, "Hunt Facility- A<br>privately owned ranch or other<br>premises selling commercial<br>hunts. These facilities should<br>have fenced enclosures<br>maintained to prevent ingress<br>and egress of cervids. They may<br>participate in an Approved State<br>CWD HCP if they can comply with<br>all minimum requirements for<br>Approved State CWD HCPs as set<br>forth in the Federal regulations. " |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|
|   |                       | narvested from these facilities.   |   |

| Ton Soil Domoval No.   | montion in the Pule   | Annondiv W- Section B under  | Annondix IV- Section B under  |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|
| Top Soil Removal<br>Requirements Non   Non Non | • mention in the Rule | Appendix IV- Section B, under<br>heading of "Dry lot Where CWD<br>positive animals have been held in<br>close confinement (this includes<br>but is not limited to corrals, pens,<br>stalls, and alleyways or<br>pathways)," of the Version 22<br>Standards document draft. The<br>document states, "In addition,<br>removal of the top 1 to 2 inches of<br>soil may help to reduce surface<br>contamination. The soil removed<br>may be buried deeply or<br>incinerated." There is no<br>instruction of what state or federal<br>agency will be responsible for soil<br>removal cost therefore it would fall<br>on the producer. Moreover, the<br>language "Dry lot Where CWD<br>positive animals have been held in<br>close confinement (this includes<br>but is not limited to corrals, pens,<br>stalls, and alleyways or pathways)"<br>is very vague and could<br>incorporate dozens to hundreds of<br>acres requiring the removal<br>depending on the speculation. | Appendix IV- Section B, under<br>heading of "Dry lot Where CWD<br>positive animals have been held<br>in close confinement (this<br>includes but is not limited to<br>corrals, pens, stalls, and<br>alleyways or pathways)," of the<br>Version 22 Standards document<br>draft. The document states, "In<br>addition, removal of the top 1 to 2<br>inches of soil may help to reduce<br>surface contamination. The soil<br>removed may be buried deeply or<br>incinerated." There is no<br>instruction of what state or<br>federal agency will be responsible<br>for soil removal cost therefore it<br>would fall on the producer.<br>Moreover, the language "Dry lot<br>Where CWD positive animals<br>have been held in close<br>confinement (this includes but is<br>not limited to corrals, pens, stalls,<br>and alleyways or pathways)" is<br>very vague and could incorporate<br>dozens to hundreds of acres<br>requiring the removal depending<br>on the speculation. |

| Cleaning<br>Requirement for<br>Sale Barns | No requirement in Rule | Part B under heading of "Limited<br>Contact" of the Version 22<br>Standards document draft. The<br>document states, "Pens at fairs,<br>livestock auctions, sales, shows, | Definition of "Limited Contact" on<br>pg 8 "Pens at fairs, livestock<br>auctions, sales, shows, and<br>exhibitions should be thoroughly<br>cleaned and all organic material |
|---|------------------------|--|---|
|   |                        | and exhibitions must be<br>thoroughly cleaned and all organic<br>material removed after use and<br>before holding another animal."                                       | removed after use and before<br>holding another animal."  |

| Requiring   | CFR 81.5, allows interstate  | Part A-8.4 of the Version 22.  | <b>Part A, Section 8.4</b> (pg 34)   |
|---|--|--|--|
| Notification for<br>Every State<br>Traveled<br>Through to | transit to destination. There is<br>no notice requirement for<br>every state passed through. | "Although the CWD rule does not<br>require such transport permits,<br>APHIS intends to advise producers<br>and transporters to provide prior | "While it is not required, APHIS<br>recommends producers and<br>transporters provide a courtesy<br>notification to any State through                     |
| Destination for<br>Interstate<br>Transport.               |  | notification to any state through<br>which they may transit en-route to<br>their final destination "   | which they may transit en route<br>to their final destination. This<br>may be a benefit should<br>emergencies arise and State<br>assistance is needed. " |
|   |  |  |  |

| Definition of                    | There is no requirement in the          | On page 6 "Limited Contact- Any   | On page 8 "Limited Contact- Any  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Definition of<br>Limited Contact | There is no requirement in the<br>Rule. | On page 6, "Limited Contact- Any<br>brief contact with a farmed animal<br>such as occurs in sale or show<br>rings and alleyways at fairs,<br>livestock auctions, sales, shows,<br>and exhibitions. Limited contact<br>does not include penned animals<br>having less than 10 feet of physical<br>separation or contact through a<br>fence, or any activity where<br>uninhibited contact occurs such as<br>sharing an enclosure, a section of a<br>transport vehicle, sharing<br>equipment, food, or water sources,<br>or contact with bodily fluids or<br>excrement." | On page 8, "Limited Contact- Any<br>brief contact with a farmed<br>animal such as occurs in sale or<br>show rings and alleyways at fairs,<br>livestock auctions, sales, shows,<br>and exhibitions. Limited contact<br>does not include penned animals<br>having less than 10 feet of<br>physical separation or contact<br>through a fence; or any activity<br>where uninhibited contact occurs<br>such as sharing an enclosure, a<br>section of a transport vehicle,<br>sharing equipment, food, or water<br>sources; or contact with bodily<br>fluids or excrement. Pens at fairs,<br>livestock auctions, sales, shows,<br>and exhibitions should be<br>thoroughly cleaned and all<br>organic material removed after<br>use and before holding another<br>animal. |

| Prohibiting   | There is no such definition in | On page 7, "Hold Order- A            | On Page 8, "Hold Order- A         |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Movement of   | the Rule                       | temporary order issued by a State    | temporary order issued by a State |
| Animals with  |                                | prohibiting movement of animals      | prohibiting movement of animals   |
| definition of |                                | from or in to a premises for a given | from or into a premises for a     |
| Hold Order    |                                | period of time."                     | given period of time."            |
|               |                                |                                      |                                   |

| Physical    | 55.23 "In addition, a complete | Part A, Section 2.7. "States may   | Removed |
|-------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| Inventories | physical herd inventory must   | require more frequent physical     |         |
|             | be performed for all herds     | inventories for all herds in their |         |
|             | enrolled in the CWD Herd       | Approved State CWD HCP ."          |         |
|             | Certification Program no more  |                                    |         |
|             | than 3 years after the last    |                                    |         |
|             | complete physical herd         |                                    |         |
|             | inventory for the herd"        |                                    |         |

| Safety      | No mention in the Rule | Appendix III, Section A, Section B,      | Appendix III, Section A,                           |
|-------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Precautions |                        | includes two pages of oversight spelling | Section B, includes two                            |
|             |                        | out safety precautions and equipment     | pages of oversight spelling                        |
|             |                        | requirements.                            | out safety precautions and equipment requirements. |
|             |                        |  |  |
|             |                        |  |  |
|             |                        |  |  |
|             |                        |  |  |
|             |                        |  |  |

| Sanitary<br>Precautions for<br>Vehicles | There is no mention in the Rule | <b>Part B, Section 3</b> . "Any third-party vehicle<br>used to transport cervids must be cleaned<br>and disinfected before and after<br>transporting CWD susceptible cervids. The<br>owner will require the transporter to<br>provide a statement that the truck or<br>trailer was cleaned and disinfected and will<br>keep a copy of the statement.  | <b>Part B, Section 5</b> (pg 42)<br>Same as Version 22 |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|
|   |                                 | Producer-owned vehicles such as cars,<br>pickup trucks, and tractors only may be<br>shared among herds or premises under<br>common ownership. Producer-owned<br>equipment for transport of animals must<br>be cleaned and disinfected if it is to be used<br>for multiple herds managed by the same<br>producer. Other farm equipment that tends<br>to be heavily contaminated with soil or<br>feces such as manure spreaders and drags<br>may not be shared among herds or<br>premises unless it is cleaned and<br>disinfected each time. Producers should<br>keep records of these activities which<br>involve commingling of animals in those<br>herds |  |
|   |                                 | Part B, Section 3. "Any third-party vehicle<br>used to transport cervids must be cleaned<br>and disinfected before and after<br>transporting CWD susceptible cervids. The<br>owner will require the transporter to<br>provide a statement that the truck or<br>trailer was cleaned and disinfected and will<br>keep a copy of the statement.<br>Producer-owned vehicles such as cars,   |  |

|  | pickup trucks, and tractors only may be<br>shared among herds or premises under<br>common ownership. Producer-owned<br>equipment for transport of animals must<br>be cleaned and disinfected if it is to be used<br>for multiple herds managed by the same<br>producer. Other farm equipment that tends<br>to be heavily contaminated with soil or<br>feces such as manure spreaders and drags<br>may not be shared among herds or<br>premises unless it is cleaned and<br>disinfected each time. Producers should<br>keep records of these activities which<br>involve commingling of animals in those<br>herds |  |
|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|

Language below, from Version 23, are also of major concern, however, coincide with the language in the Federal Rule.

**Page 18 CWD Positive or Exposed Herd** – "If a herd is designated a CWD positive herd or a CWD exposed herd, it immediately loses its program status, and may only re-enroll after entering into a herd plan."

**Page 18** at the very bottom- "If the epidemiological investigation is unable to determine the exposed versus negative status of the herd because the animal or animals of interest are no longer available for testing (for example, a trace animal from a known positive herd died and was not tested) or for other reasons, the herd status would continue to be considered suspended until a herd plan is developed for the herd and implemented by the approved state official."